

Attorney Docket No.: 944-3.175
Serial No.: 10/608,860

REMARKS

The Office examined claims 1-13 and rejected claims 10-13. With this paper, claims 10 and 13 are changed, but the claims are otherwise the same, and so claims 1-13 remain in the application.

Rejections under 35 USC §112, second paragraph

At paragraph 2 of the Office action, claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, because of informalities noted in claims 10 and 13. With this paper, claims 10 and 13 are amended in a way believed to overcome the grounds for rejection.

Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that the rejections under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Conclusion


It is believed that all of the claims of the application are now in condition for allowance and their passage to issue is earnestly solicited.

Jan. 12, 2005

Date

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS
& ADOLPHSON LLP
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224
Monroe, CT 06468-0224

Respectfully submitted,


James A. Retter
Registration No. 41,266

tel: (203) 261-1234
Cust. No.: 004955